Model 000000 Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

Options Portfolio Selection

Paolo Guasoni^{1,2} Eberhard Mayerhofer³

Boston University¹

Dublin City University²

University of Limerick³

2018 Workshop on Finance, Insurance, Probability and Statistics September 11th 2018, King's College London

Model 000000 Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00



• Problem:

Optimal Investment in Options. Multiple Assets, Dependence.

• Model:

One-Period Model. Infinitely Many Securities.

Results:

Optimal Portfolios and Performance.

Model 000000 Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

The Problem

• Options:

Available on stocks, bonds, indices, futures, commodities. Usually available on dozens of strikes and a handful of maturities.

- S&P 500 index options returns: approximately -3% a week.
- Potentially high returns from selling options. Certainly high risks.
- How to construct optimal portfolios?
- High dimensional problem.
 Example: 10 assets × 20 strikes = 200 options. With a single maturity.
- Markowitz? Problematic. Options with only a small strike difference are nearly collinear. Nearly singular covariance matrix.

Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

One Asset

- With one asset and one maturity, problem tractable.
- X underlying asset price at maturity. $c_X(K)$ price of a call option on X with strike price K. $p_X(x)$ physical marginal density of X.
- Assume that continuum of strikes is available.
- Risk-neutral density q_X(K) is (Breeden and Litzenberger, 1978)

$$q_X(K) := c_X''(K) \tag{1}$$

- Thus, the unique SDF is the random variable $m_X(x) = c''_X(x)/p_X(X)$.
- If the function *m_X* is regular enough, the payoff decomposes as a portfolio of call and put options (Carr and Madan, 2001)

$$egin{aligned} m_X(\mathcal{K}) &= m_X(\mathcal{K}_0) + m_X'(\mathcal{K}_0)(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{K}_0) \ &+ \int_0^{\mathcal{K}_0} m_X''(\kappa)(\kappa-\mathcal{K})^+ d\kappa + \int_{\mathcal{K}_0}^\infty m_X''(\kappa)(\mathcal{K}-\kappa)^+ d\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

• Payoffs with maximal Sharpe of the form $R = a + b m_X(X)$ with b < 0.

Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

Incompleteness with Multiple Assets

- Call and Put options available on all sorts of underlying assets.
- But each option depends only on one asset.
- Option prices identify risk-neutral marginals, but **not** the risk-neutral dependence structure.
- Infinitely many risk-neutral laws consistent with market marginals.
- Market incomplete.
- High dimensional problem, but not high enough to complete market...
- Which risk neutral law to use?
- It depends on the investor's objective.



- Significant (negative) risk premia in options: Coval and Shumway (2001), Bakshi and Kapadia (2003), Santa-Clara and Saretto (2009), Schneider and Trojani (2015).
- Optimal payoff as weighted sum of calls and puts on all strikes. Carr and Madan (2001), Carr, Jin, Madan (2001).
- Performance manipulation with options on one asset: Goetzmann, Ingersoll, Spiegel, Welch (2007), Guasoni, Huberman, Wang (2011).
- Dynamic portfolio choice with options on one asset and one or two strikes: Liu and Pan (2003), Eraker (2013), Faias and Stanta Clara (2011).
- "Greek efficient" portfolios with multiple assets: Malamud (2014).



Model ●00000 Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

The Model

- Simplifications: one maturity, continuum of strikes.
 Shortest maturity options are most liquid. Strikes very numerous.
 Over 200 for the S&P 500 index, over 100 for large stocks.
- One period. Underlying asset prices at end of period X_1, \ldots, X_n . Random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), \mathcal{F} = \sigma(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.
- By Carr-Madan formula, any smooth function *f* of *X_i* corresponds to a weighted average of options.
- Define options portfolio as a *n*-tuple ($f_1(x_1), \ldots, f_n(x_n)$) of L^2 functions with finite price, defined as expecation under risk-neutral marginal.
- Optimal payoffs regular if densities regular.

Model

Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

Portfolio Objective

- Assume zero safe rate to simplify notation.
- Payoff $Z = f_1(X_1) + \cdots + f_n(X_n)$ and price π .
- Maximize the Sharpe ratio, i.e., find the returns that

$$\max_{R} \frac{E[Z-\pi]}{\sigma(Z)}$$

- Payoff identified up to scaling and price. Z optimal iff a + bZ optimal, with b > 0.
- Ubiquitous objective in performance evaluation.
- And tractable.

Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

Duality

- Maximixing Sharpe ratio equivalent to minimizing variance of SDF.
- Convex $\mathcal{R} \subset L^2(\mathcal{F}, P)$ space of payoffs.
- Assume some SDF $\hat{M} > 0$ characterizes prices, and denote all SDFs by

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ M \in L^2, \mathbb{E}[RM] = \mathbb{E}[R\hat{M}] \text{ for all } R \in \mathcal{R} \}.$$

• Implies that for any excess return:

 $0 = E[RM] = \operatorname{cov}(R, M) + \mathbb{E}[R]\mathbb{E}[M] \ge -\sigma(R)\sigma(M) + \mathbb{E}[R]$

• Whence Hansen-Jagannathan bound:

$$\sup_{\substack{R \in \mathcal{R} \\ \sigma(R) \neq 0, \mathbb{E}[MR] = 0}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[R]}{\sigma(R)} \leq \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \sigma(M)$$

- Morale: instead of looking for *R*, look for SDF *M*^{*} with minimal variance.
- If M^* is a payoff, $R = -M^* + E[(M^*)^2]$ spans all optimal returns.

Model

Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

Dual Problem

• To ease notation: two assets with payoffs X and Y. Solve

 $\min_{M\in\mathcal{M}} E[M^2]$

subject to the restrictions

$$E[M|X] = \frac{q_X(X)}{p_X(X)}, \qquad E[M|Y] = \frac{q_Y(Y)}{p_Y(Y)}.$$

- To guess solution, consider SDF of the form M = m(X, Y). (Intuitively, other sources of randomness would only increase variance.)
- Two families of infinitely many constraints: Lagrange multipliers?
- Reformulate problem in terms of densities.

Model

Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

Densities

• Find *m*(*x*, *y*) that minimizes (interval (0, ∞) used for concreteness)

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty m(x,y)^2 p(x,y) dx dy$$

subject to the constraints

$$\int_0^\infty m(x,y) \frac{p(x,y)}{p_X(x)} dy = \frac{q_X(x)}{p_X(x)} \qquad \int_0^\infty m(x,y) \frac{p(x,y)}{p_Y(y)} dx = \frac{q_Y(y)}{p_Y(y)}$$

• Formally, rewrite as unconstrained problem:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} m(x,y)^2 p(x,y) dx dy - \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi_X(x) \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} m(x,y) p(x,y) dy - q_X(x) \right) dx \\ - \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi_Y(y) \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} m(x,y) p(x,y) dx - q_Y(y) \right) dy,$$

• Functions $\Phi_X(x)$ and $\Phi_Y(y)$ as infinite-dimensional Largrange multipliers.

Model
00000

Solution 0000000000 Conclusion 00

Integral Equations

Eliminating constant terms, equivalent to:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (m(x,y) - \Phi_X(x) - \Phi_Y(y)) m(x,y) p(x,y) dx dy.$$

Setting first-order variation to zero leads to candidate solution

$$m^*(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}(\Phi_X(x)+\Phi_Y(y))$$

where $\Phi_X(x)$ and $\Phi_Y(y)$ are identified by the system of equations

$$\frac{1}{2} \Phi_X(x) p_X(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \Phi_Y(y) p(x, y) dy = q_X(x) \qquad x > 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \Phi_X(x) p(x, y) dx + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_Y(y) p_Y(y) = q_Y(y) \qquad y > 0.$$

- Does this have a solution?
- If (Φ_X, Φ_Y) works, then $\Phi'_X(x) = \Phi'_X(x) + c$, $\Phi'_Y(y) = \Phi_Y(y) c$ also works.
- · Eliminate degree of freedom by setting

$$\int_0^\infty \Phi_X(x) p_X(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \Phi_Y(y) p_Y(y) dy$$

Model

Solution •000000000 Conclusion 00

Main Result (1/2)

Theorem

Assume that
$$\mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$$
 and $\left\| \frac{p_i p_i^c}{p} \right\|_p^2 < \infty, 1 \le i \le n$. Then:

- (Existence and Uniqueness) There exists a unique minimal SDF $M^* \in \mathcal{M}$.
- (Linearity) There exist $\Phi := (\Phi_1, ..., \Phi_n)$, where each $\Phi_i \in L_p^2$ for $1 \le i \le n$, such that the SDF is of the form $M^* = m^*(X)$, where

$$m^*(\xi) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\xi_i).$$

• (Identification) Φ is the unique solution to the system of integral equations

$$p_i(\xi_i)\Phi_i(\xi_i) + \sum_{j\neq i}\int_{\mathcal{D}_i^c}\Phi_j(\xi_j)p(\xi)d\xi_i^c = nq_i(\xi_i)$$

with the uniqueness constraints $\int_{I_i} \Phi_i(\xi_i) p_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i = 1, 1 \le i \le n$.

Model 000000 Solution

Conclusion 00

Main Result (2/2)

Theorem

(Performance) Optimal excess returns are of the form a(m^{*} - E[(m^{*})²]) for a < 0, and their common maximum Sharpe ratio is

$$SR = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{I_i} \Phi_i(\xi_i) q_i(\xi_i) d\xi_i - 1.}$$
 (2)

(Regularity) Let (q_i)ⁿ_{i=1} ⊂ C^k(ℝ) with k ≥ 0. Denoting the continuous partial derivatives by ∂^β_{ξi}p(ξ), 0 ≤ β ≤ k, if for any R > 0 there exists α ∈ (1/2, 1] such that

$$\sup_{\xi: \|\xi_i\| \le R} \left| \frac{\partial_{\xi_i}^\beta \boldsymbol{p}(\xi)}{(\boldsymbol{p}_i^c(\xi_i^c))^\alpha} \right| < \infty \qquad \qquad \int_{\mathcal{D}_i^c} (\boldsymbol{p}_i^c(\xi_i^c))^{2\alpha-1} d\xi_i^c < \infty,$$

then $m^*(\xi) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\xi_i)$ is also in $C^k(\mathbb{R})$.

Solution

Conclusion 00

Sanity Checks

Risk-Neutrality:

If options prices reflect zero risk premium $q_X/p_X = q_Y/p_Y = 1$, then we should neither buy nor sell them.

- Indeed, in this case $\Phi_X = \Phi_Y = 1$, whence $m^* = 1$, which has zero variance.
- Independence:

If X and Y are independent under p, then the optimization problem should separate across assets.

• Indeed,
$$\Phi_X(x) = 2\frac{q_X(x)}{p_X(x)} - 1$$
, $\Phi_Y(y) = 2\frac{q_Y(y)}{p_Y(y)} - 1$. No interaction.
 $m^*(x, y) = \frac{q_X(x)}{p_X(x)} + \frac{q_Y(y)}{p_Y(y)} - 1$.

- Trivial example, nontrivial message. If options on multiple underlyings are not traded, the risk-neutral density consistent with independence and the maximization of the Sharpe ratio is $q_{X,Y}(x,y) = q_X(x)p_Y(y) + q_Y(y)p_X(x) - p_X(x)p_Y(y)$. It does not correspond to any particular copula...
- Nontrivial explicit solutions with dependence?
- Tractability?

Model 000000 Solution

Conclusion 00

Mixture Distributions (1/2)

- Solving integral equations is nontrivial. To break the spell, discretize.
- $(p_X^i)_{1 \le i \le k}, (p_Y^i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ strictly positive probability densities on $(0, \infty)$.

$$p(x,y) := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k p_X^i(x) p_Y^i(y).$$

(Remember the proof of Fubini-Tonelli theorem?)

• Plug into integral equations. They become

$$\frac{p_X(x)}{2}\Phi_X(x) = q_X(x) - \sum_{i=1}^k c_Y^i p_X^i(x), \quad \frac{p_Y(y)}{2}\Phi_Y(y) = q_Y(y) - \sum_{i=1}^k c_X^i p_Y^i(y),$$

where the 2k constants $(c_X^i)_{1 \le i \le k}, (c_Y^i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ are

$$c_X^i=rac{1}{2k}\int_0^\infty \Phi_X(x)p_X^i(x)dx,\quad c_Y^i=rac{1}{2k}\int_0^\infty \Phi_Y(y)p_Y^i(y)dy.$$

• Plug formulas for Φ_X and Φ_Y again.

Model 000000 Solution

Conclusion 00

Mixture Distributions (2/2)

• Obtain system of 2k equations in 2k unknowns

$$c_{Y}^{i} = \frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} q_{Y}(y) \frac{p_{Y}^{i}(y)}{p_{Y}(y)} dy - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{X}^{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{Y}(y)^{j} p_{Y}^{i}(y)}{p_{Y}(y)} dy \qquad 1 \le i \le k$$

$$c_{X}^{i} = \frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} q_{X}(x) \frac{p_{X}^{i}(x)}{p_{X}(x)} dx - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{Y}^{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{X}^{j}(x) p_{X}^{j}(x)}{p_{X}(x)} dx \qquad 1 \le i \le k.$$

- But the rank is 2k 1.
- Drop one equation and replace it with the uniqueness constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^k c_X^i - \sum_{i=1}^k c_Y^i = 0.$$

- Now system is invertible.
- Note: *k* in mixture representation independent of number of assets *n*. (Independence corresponds to a minimal *k* = 1 regardless of *n*.)
- No curse of dimensionality.

Solution

Conclusion 00

Discrete Densities

- Another tractable discretization is with piecewise constant densities.
- Two increasing finite sequences $(x_i)_{0 \le i \le k}$ and $(y_j)_{0 \le j \le l}$.
- Assume $P(X \in [x_0, x_k), Y \in [y_0, y_l)) = Q(X \in [x_0, x_k), Y \in [y_0, y_l)) = 1.$
- Assume joint probability density *p* constant on each rectangle $I_i^x \times I_j^y$, where $I_i^x = [x_{i-1}, x_i)$, $1 \le i \le k$, and $I_j^y = [y_{j-1}, y_j)$, $1 \le j \le l$.
- Denote $\tilde{p}^{ij} = P(X \in I_i^x, Y \in I_j^y)$, $\tilde{p}_X^i = P(X \in I_i^x)$, $\tilde{p}_Y^j = P(Y \in I_j^y)$, and $\tilde{q}_X^i = Q(X \in I_i^x)$, $\tilde{q}_Y^j = Q(Y \in I_j^y)$, $1 \le i \le k, 1 \le j \le l$.
- Any solution Φ_X , Φ_Y piecewise constant on $(I_i^x)_{1 \le i \le n}$ and $(I_j^y)_{1 \le j \le m}$. Set $\Phi_X^i = \Phi_X(x_i)$ and $\Phi_Y^i = \Phi_Y(x_j)$.
- Integral equations reduce to:

$$\Phi_X^i \tilde{p}_X^j + \sum_{j=1}^k \Phi_Y^j \tilde{p}^{jj} = 2\tilde{q}_X^j, \ 1 \le i \le k, \\ \Phi_Y^j \tilde{p}_Y^j + \sum_{i=1}^l \Phi_X^i \tilde{p}^{ij} = 2\tilde{q}_Y^j, \ 1 \le j \le l.$$

- Uniqueness constraint $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{X}^{i} \tilde{P}_{X}^{j} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Phi_{Y}^{j} \tilde{P}_{Y}^{j} = 0.$
- Curse of dimensionality.

Solution

Conclusion 00

Example: Variance Gamma Model

- Common wisdom on option portfolios: Writing options profitable but risky. Diversify over many assets.
- Which strikes to write more? Impact of correlation?
- Example: Variance-Gamma model. Combines no-arbitrage with different realized and implied volatilities. Important to separate options' risk-premia from assets' risk premia.
- Two risky asset prices, both distributed as

$$X_t = X_0 e^{\omega t + Z_t(\sigma, \nu, \theta)},$$

where Z_t has the characteristic function

$$\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{e}^{i\boldsymbol{u}\boldsymbol{Z}_{t}}] = (1 - i\theta\nu\boldsymbol{u} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\boldsymbol{u}^{2}\nu)^{-t/\nu}, \quad \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}$$

• Marginal of a Levy process with jump measure $k_Z(x) = \frac{e^{\theta x/\sigma^2}}{\nu|x|} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\nu} + \frac{\theta^2}{\sigma^2}}}{\sigma}|x|}$

- Dependence modeled through bivariate *t*-copula.
- Assets' risk premia both zero.

Model 000000 Solution

Conclusion 00





Model

Solution 000000000

Conclusion 00

$$\sigma_X^P = 20\%, \sigma_X^Q = 25\%, \sigma_Y^P = 25\%, \sigma_Y^Q = 40\%$$



Model

Solution 000000000 Conclusion 00

Performance

	Figure 1		Figure 2	
Correlation	(annual)	(monthly)	(annual)	(monthly)
0%	0.29	0.68	0.62	1.71
60%	0.31	0.74	0.58	1.63
75%	0.33	0.84	0.58	1.67
90%	0.43	1.17	0.63	1.99

- Annualized Sharpe ratios of optimal portfolios.
- Trade annually (left) or monthly (right).
- Higher correlation? Higher Sharpe ratio. Against intuition on diversification.
- Reason: correlation is among assets, not all options.
- Keeping the same marginals while increasing correlation increases the diversification and hedging opportunities among individual options.

Model 000000 Solution 0000000000 Conclusion •O

Conclusion

- Options portfolio selection.
- Each option on one underlying asset. Market incomplete with multiple assets.
- Maximize Sharpe ratio: system of linear integral equations.
- Integral equations intractable virtually all nontrivial cases. Discretizations tractable in virtually all cases.
- Optimal payoffs in one asset depend on options prices in all other assets. Except with independence.
- It may be optimal to buy options in one asset, expecting to lose. Just to hedge more profitable options in another asset.

Model 000000 Solution 0000000000 Conclusion O●

Thank You! Questions?

http://ssrn.com/abstract=3075945